

# Florida Network for Youth and Family Services Compliance Monitoring Report for

Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (N. E. E. D.)

611 N. Wymore Rd, Suite 209 Winter Park, 32789

**Compliance Monitoring Services Provided by** 



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Forefront LLC conducted a joint Quality Improvement (QI) and Florida Network of Youth and Family Services (FNYFS) monitoring visit for Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (N.E.E.D.) for the FY 2020-2021 at its program office located at 611 N. Wymore Road, Suite 209, Winter Park, Florida. Forefront LLC (Forefront) is an independent compliance monitoring firm that is contracted by the FNYFS to perform onsite program reviews to assess the agency's adherence to fiscal, programmatic and overall contract requirements. Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (N.E.E.D.) is contracted with the Florida Network of Youth and Family Services (FNYFS) to provide direct services to Children/Families in Need of Services (CINS/FINS). The services to be provided are identified in Contract Section A - Descriptions and Specifications and Section B - Delivery and Performance and are funded with General Revenue Funds effective for July 2020 through June 30, 2021.

The review was conducted by Ashley Davies, Consultant for Forefront LLC and Peer Reviewer(s). Agency representatives from Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (N.E.E.D.) present for the entrance interview were: Venus Highsmith, Director of Youth Services. <u>The last onsite QI visit was conducted on October 10, 2019.</u>

In general, the Reviewer found that Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (N.E.E.D.) is in compliance with specific contract requirements. **Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (N.E.E.D.) received an overall compliance rating of 100% for achieving full compliance with ten indicators** of the CINS/FINS Monitoring Tool. There were no corrective actions as a result of the monitoring visit; however, no recommendation was made for an indicator rated as conditionally acceptable.

The following report represents the results of the in-depth evaluation of the provider's General Administrative performance, with all findings clearly documented. Copies of all completed tools utilized during the visit to determine these ratings will be maintained on file with the Reviewer. If any information or clarification is required, please contact Keith Carr by E-mail: keithcarr@forefrontllc.com

# 2020-2021 CINS/FINS PROGRAM COMPLIANCE MONITORING TOOL Report Number: CM 05-26-2021

Agency Name: Nehemiah Educational ar (N.E.E.D.)	nd Ec	Monitor Name: Ashley Davies, Lead Reviewer					
Contract Type: CINS/FINS			Region/Office: 611 N. Wymo	ore Rd., Suite 209, Winter Park			
Service Description: Comprehensive Ons	ite Co	ompliand	Site Visit Date(s): May 26, 20	021			
		-					
		Explain	Rating				
		<b>I</b>				Ratings Based Upon:	Notes
Major Programmatic Requirements	Unacceptable	Conditionally Unacceptable	Fully Met	Exceeded	Not Applicable	I = Interview O = Observation D = Documentation PTV = Submitted Prior To Visit (List Who and What)	Explain Unacceptable or Conditionally Acceptable: (Attach Supportive Documentation)
I. Administrative and Fiscal							
DJJ Quality Improvement Peer Reviewer  a. Provider shall demonstrate that a minimum of two (2) staff members have been trained to be certified as DJJ QI Peer reviewers. Provider shall participate in a minimum of one (1) on-site quality assurance review of a similar type program in another judicial circuit during each 12-month period of the contract, if requested.						Interview: The program currently has at least two staff members certified as DJJ QI Peer reviewers.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.
Additional Contracts  a. Provider shall provide a listing of all current federal, state, or local government contracts, as well as other contracts entered into with for profit and not-for-profit organizations. Such listing shall identify the awarding entity and contract start & end dates. PTV						Documentation: The agency provided a list of two additional funding sources. The list identifies the amount and start and end dates.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.
Limits of Coverage  a. Provider shall provide and maintain during this contract, the following minimum kinds of insurance: Worker's Compensation and Employer's liability insurance as required by Chapter 440, F.S. with a minimum of \$100,000 per accident, \$100,000 per person and \$500,000 policy aggregate. Commercial General Liability						Documentation: The agency is insured for General Liability by Underwriters at Lloyds, London at \$1,000,000 each/\$3,000,000 aggregate and \$50,000 damage to rented premises	No recommendation or Corrective Action.

Agency Name: Nehemiah Educational ar (N.E.E.D.)	nd Ec	Monitor Name: Ashley Davies, Lead Reviewer					
Contract Type: CINS/FINS			Region/Office: 611 N. Wymore Rd., Suite 209, Winter Park				
Service Description: Comprehensive Ons	ite Co	mpliand	ce Mon	itorir	าตู	Site Visit Date(s): May 26, 20	021
		Explain	Rating				
		•				Ratings Based Upon:	Notes
Major Programmatic Requirements	Unacceptable	Conditionally Unacceptable	Fully Met	Exceeded	Not Applicable	I = Interview O = Observation D = Documentation PTV = Submitted Prior To Visit (List Who and What)	Explain Unacceptable or Conditionally Acceptable: (Attach Supportive Documentation)
with a limit of \$500,000 per occurrence, and \$1,000,000 policy aggregate. Automobile Liability Insurance shall be required and shall provide bodily injury and property damage liability covering the operation of all vehicles used in conjunction with performance of this contract, with a minimum limit for bodily injury of \$250,000 per person; with a minimum limit for bodily injury of \$500,000 per accident; with a minimum limit for property damage of \$100,000 per accident and with a minimum limit for medical payments or \$5,000-\$10,000 per person. Florida Network is listed as payee or co-payee. <b>PTV</b>						and \$5,000 medical expenses. Effective 10/30/2020 – 10/30/2021.  Professional Liability by Underwriters at Lloyds, London at \$1,000,000 each/\$3,000,000 aggregate. Effective 10/30/2020 – 10/30/2021.  The Florida Network is listed as Certificate Holder upon request. All documents were reviewed, verified and confirmed on-site.	
External/Outside Contract Compliance  a. Provider has corrective action item(s) cited by an external funding source (Fiscal or Non-Fiscal). ON SITE						N/A –  During the Entrance Conference, the provider indicated that there are no outstanding corrective action item(s) cited by an external funding source.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.
Fiscal Practice  a. Agency must have employee and fiscal policy/procedures manuals that are in compliance with GAAP and provide sound internal controls. Agency maintains fiscal files that are audit ready. PTV						Documentation: Fiscal Policies and Procedures are maintained in the agency's Accounting Policies and Procedures Manual that are general and provide for limited internal controls. The Accounting Policies and Procedures were last reviewed 01/2021.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.

Agency Name: Nehemiah Educational ar (N.E.E.D.)	nd Ec	Monitor Name: Ashley David	es, Lead Reviewer				
Contract Type: CINS/FINS			Region/Office: 611 N. Wymore Rd., Suite 209, Winter Park				
Service Description: Comprehensive Ons	ite Co	ompliand	Site Visit Date(s): May 26, 20	021			
	1		D - 4!				I
		Explain	Rating			Ratings Based Upon:	Notes
Major Programmatic Requirements	Unacceptable	Conditionally Unacceptable	Fully Met	Exceeded	Not Applicable	I = Interview O = Observation D = Documentation PTV = Submitted Prior To Visit (List Who and What)	Explain Unacceptable or Conditionally Acceptable:  (Attach Supportive Documentation)
b. Agency maintains a general ledger and the corresponding source documents. General ledger must be set up to track the activity of the grant separately (standard account numbers / separate funds for each revenue source, etc.). <b>PTV</b>						Documentation: General ledger (GL) for Periods: 07-2020 Through 4-2021. The agency maintains a detailed general ledger with corresponding source documents. The General Ledger documents and tracks all funding sources by category.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.
c. Petty cash ledger system is balanced and all cash disbursements are compliant with financial policies and allowable under the contract. (Disbursements/invoices are approved & monitored by management.) – ON SITE						Interview:  If required at any time during the program service year, the agency has a petty cash system for program clients and staff/team members for occasional program events and outings. The request for cash is a form-based justification process and required to be placed in advanced via a check request or official cash request. As of the date of the review, there were no examples of the program executing a petty cash request for the current fiscal year.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.
d. Financial records and reports are current. Includes bank statements reconciled within 6 weeks of receipt. Vendor invoices past 6 months. Invoices are submitted on a monthly basis with supporting documentation. (Disbursements/invoices are approved & monitored by management). <b>ON SITE</b>						Documentation: All program invoices are processed for payment by the agency's Program Director and Executive Director. Invoices for service delivery are submitted to the FNYFS as required. Copies of submitted invoices were reviewed for accuracy and	No recommendation or Corrective Action.

Agency Name: Nehemiah Educational ar (N.E.E.D.)	nd Ec	Monitor Name: Ashley Davies, Lead Reviewer					
Contract Type: CINS/FINS			Region/Office: 611 N. Wymore Rd., Suite 209, Winter Park				
Service Description: Comprehensive Ons	ite Co	ompliand	Site Visit Date(s): May 26, 20	21			
	T				1		
		Explain I	Rating				
						Ratings Based Upon:	Notes
	O	<b>~</b> 0			4)	I = Interview	Explain Unacceptable or
Major Programmatic Requirements	abl	able	<u>let</u>	pa	able	O = Observation	Conditionally Acceptable:
l	ept	itior	Fully Met	pee	olic;	D = Documentation	Conditionally Acceptable.
	Unacceptable	Conditionally Unacceptable	Full	Exceeded	Not Applicable	PTV = Submitted Prior To Visit	(Attach Supportive
	5	ΰ			Ş	(List Who and What)	Documentation)
						(List Wild and Wilat)	
						completeness for the period of July 2020 – April 2021.  Bank account statements were provided for the period covering November 2020 – April 2021. Account Reconciliation Summaries were provided for the aforementioned period. In addition, Transaction Detail by the respective account was also submitted. CINS/FINS account detail for transaction categories include Payroll, Background Screening, Insurance, Office Rent, Utilities, Postage, Supplies, Telephone, Mileage, Travel, ADP fees, and Training/Seminars.  Any purchases require an official request to be completed by the Program Director in advance. The designated purchase is then processed to be reviewed by the agency's Executive Director.	

Agency Name: Nehemiah Educational ar (N.E.E.D.)	nd Ec	onomic	Monitor Name: Ashley Davies, Lead Reviewer				
Contract Type : CINS/FINS			Region/Office: 611 N. Wymore Rd., Suite 209, Winter Park				
Service Description: Comprehensive Ons	ite Co	omplian	Site Visit Date(s): May 26, 20	)21			
	I				1		
		Explain	Rating			Ratings Based Upon:	Notes
Major Programmatic Requirements	Unacceptable	Conditionally Unacceptable	Fully Met	Exceeded	Not Applicable	I = Interview O = Observation D = Documentation PTV = Submitted Prior To Visit (List Who and What)	Explain Unacceptable or Conditionally Acceptable: (Attach Supportive Documentation)
						program maintains vendor files on an annual basis. Current and previous year files are stored in adjacent file cabinets area or a secure storage area until completion of fiscal year audit. Request for purchases generally include acquisition of certain local supplies or services for the operation of the program.  Accounts Payable Reconciliations are reviewed and signed monthly by the Executive Director. These tasks are generally completed within 4 weeks of receipt.	
e. Agency maintains inventory in accordance with a written policy and FNYFS contractual requirements. If over \$1,000 inventory has DJJ Property Inventory Number/Tag. In the event the provider has purchased computer equipment an Informational Resources Request (IRR) been submitted to DJJ. <b>PTV/ON SITE</b>						N/A – The agency has not purchased any items with FNYFS monies since the last review.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.
f. Agency submits payroll taxes and deposits (and retirement deposits as applicable), <a href="Employee">Employee</a> IRS Form W-2 and <a href="Independent Contractors">IRS Form 1099 forms prior to federal requirements. ON SITE</a>						Documentation: Documentation of payroll taxes and deposits was provided for the last two quarters of 2020. QuickBooks E-pay Confirmation was provided for each quarter showing payment to the United	No recommendation or Corrective Action.

Agency Name: Nehemiah Educational ar (N.E.E.D.)	nd Ec	Monitor Name: Ashley Davies, Lead Reviewer					
Contract Type: CINS/FINS		Region/Office: 611 N. Wymo	ore Rd., Suite 209, Winter Park				
Service Description: Comprehensive Ons	ite Co	ompliand	ce Mon	itorir	ng	Site Visit Date(s): May 26, 20	
·							
		Explain	Rating				
						Ratings Based Upon:	Notes
							110100
	<u>0</u>	> <u>a</u>			σ	I = Interview	Explain Unacceptable or
Major Programmatic Requirements	ab	abl	et	рə	qe	O = Observation	Conditionally Acceptable:
major i rogrammano noquiromento	ept	tior	Fully Met	Exceeded	Applicable	D = Documentation	Conditionally Acceptable.
	SS	odit CC C	ll (	eox	dd		(Attach Supportive
	Unacceptable	Conditionally Unacceptable	ш	Ê	t A	PTV = Submitted Prior To Visit	
					Not	(List Who and What)	Documentation)
						States Treasury. Copies of the checks written to the United States Treasury were also provided. EFTPS payment history was provided for the current calendar year.	
g. Budget to actual reports prepared and reviewed by appropriate management. Variance from the budget are investigated and explained. <b>PTV/ON SITE</b>						Documentation: CINS/FINS Budget-to-Actual report for July 2020 – April 2021 was provided. Report shows original budget and budget expenses and available funds. Variances in budget are monitored on a regular basis by management and reported accordingly.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.
h. A Single Audit is performed as part of the annual audit if expenses are greater than \$750,000. The agency must submit a Corrective Action Plan for findings cited in the management letter and single audit. An annual financial audit was completed within 120 days after the previous fiscal year/calendar year and that a copy was provided to the Network unless and extension has been requested and approved in writing. Copy of Audit is submitted to the FNYFS by December 31st. <b>Obtain from FNYFS</b>						The annual expenses for the agency are not greater than \$750,000. The agency is not required to submit an annual Single audit from an outside agency. No Management Letter is applicable or required.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.

Agency Name: Nehemiah Educational ar (N.E.E.D.)	nd Ec	Monitor Name: Ashley David	es, Lead Reviewer				
Contract Type: CINS/FINS			Region/Office: 611 N. Wymo	ore Rd., Suite 209, Winter Park			
<b>Service Description: Comprehensive Ons</b>	ite Co	omplian	ce Mor	itorii	ng	Site Visit Date(s): May 26, 20	021
		Explain	Rating				
						Ratings Based Upon:	Notes
Major Programmatic Requirements	Unacceptable	Conditionally Unacceptable	Fully Met	Exceeded	Not Applicable	I = Interview O = Observation D = Documentation PTV = Submitted Prior To Visit (List Who and What)	Explain Unacceptable or Conditionally Acceptable: (Attach Supportive Documentation)
i. Agency maintains confidentiality policy with written policies and procedures to ensure the security and privacy of all employee and client data. Personal information is not easily accessible. Agency maintains a backup system in case of accidental loss of financial information. Security procedures are in place to protect laptops. Obsolete documents are shredded and computer hard drives are wiped prior to discarding. <b>ON SITE</b>						Documentation: The agency has updated policies in Storage and Retention; Confidentiality, Retention, Record Retention Schedule. The agency also has related policies that address Storage and Disposal. The policies were last reviewed in January 2021.	No recommendation or Corrective Action.

#### CONCLUSION

Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (N.E.E.D.) has met the requirements for the CINS/FINS contract as a result of full compliance with ten applicable indicators of the Administrative and Fiscal Contract Monitoring Tool. Three of the thirteen indicators were not applicable because: 1) the provider does not have any outstanding corrective action item(s) cited by an external funding source, 2) the provider does not have any current inventory purchased with DJJ/FN Funds, and 3) the provider is not required to submit a Single Audit from an outside agency. Consequently, the **overall compliance rate for this contract monitoring visit is 100%.** There are no corrective actions cited and no recommendation is made as a result of the contract monitoring visit. Overall, the provider is performing satisfactorily in meeting the fiscal and administrative terms of its contract. In addition, the majority of indicators reviewed were carried out in a manner which meets the standard as described in the report findings.

#### **SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### Recommendation

There were no recommendations as a result of this review.

If required, the provider must submit a corrective action plan to address corrective actions cited in the corresponding section of this report. The provider's Corrective Action Plan should address the issues, corrective actions item cited, time frames and staff responsible. Responses to items cited for corrective actions are due to the Florida Network and the Florida Network Contract Manager within fourteen (14) working days of receipt of this report (See Florida Network Site for the Service Provider Corrective Action Form). The Florida Network Contract Manager will then review the response to the corrective action(s) to determine if the response adequately addresses the problem identified in the report within three (3) days. Upon approval the provider will then implement the approved measure to address the item(s) cited in the report. If the corrective action is successful in resolving the items cited in the report the contract monitor will notify the Provider in writing that the desired resolution has been achieved. Log on to the Florida Network (<a href="https://www.floridanetwork.org">www.floridanetwork.org</a>) website forms section and download the Service Provider Corrective Action Tracking Form.



# Florida Network of Youth and Family Services Quality Improvement Program Report

Review of Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED)

<u>Non-Residential</u> Program

May 26, 2021

**Compliance Monitoring Services Provided by** 





Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) – May 26, 2021 Lead Reviewer: Ashley Davies

#### **CINS/FINS Rating Profile**

#### **Standard 1: Management Accountability**

1.01	Background Screening	Satisfactory
1.02	Provision of an Abuse Free Environment	Satisfactory
1.03	Incident Reporting	Satisfactory
1.04	Training Requirements	Satisfactory
1.05	Analyzing and Reporting Information	Satisfactory
1.06	Client Transportation	Not Applicable
1.07	Outreach Services	Satisfactory

Percent of indicators rated Satisfactory: 100.00%

Percent of indicators rated Limited: 0.00% Percent of indicators rated Failed: 0.00%

#### **Standard 2: Intervention and Case Management**

2.01 Screening and Intake	Satisfactory
2.02 Needs Assessment	Satisfactory
2.03 Case/Service Plan	Satisfactory
2.04 Case Management & Service Delivery	Satisfactory
2.05 Counseling Services	Satisfactory
2.06 Adjudication/Petition Process	Satisfactory
2.07 Youth Records	Satisfactory
2.08 Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity,	Satisfactory
Gender Expression	Satisfactory
2.09 Special Populations	•
2.10 Stop Now and Plan (SNAP)	Not Applicable

Percent of indicators rated Satisfactory: 100.00%

Percent of indicators rated Limited: 0.00% Percent of indicators rated Failed: 0.00%

Overall Rating Summary

Percent of indicators rated Satisfactory: 100.00%

Percent of indicators rated Limited: 0.00%

Percent of indicators rated Failed: 0.00%



Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) - May 26, 2021 Lead Reviewer: Ashley Davies

# **Rating Definitions**

Ratings were assigned to each indicator by the review team using the following definitions:

Satisfactory Compliance	No exceptions to the requirements of the indicator; limited, unintentional, and/or non-systemic exceptions that do not result in reduced or substandard service delivery; or exceptions with corrective action already applied and demonstrated.
Limited Compliance	Exceptions to the requirements of the indicator that result in the interruption of service delivery, and typically require oversight by management to address the issues systemically.
Failed Compliance	The absence of a component(s) essential to the requirements of the indicator that typically requires immediate follow-up and response to remediate the issue and ensure service delivery.
Not Applicable	Does not apply.

# **Reviewer**

#### <u>Members</u>

Ashley Davies - Lead Reviewer Consultant-Forefront LLC/Florida Network of Youth and Family Services

Kamille Payne – Regional Monitor, Department of Juvenile Justice

Nadjie Pierre – Lutheran Services Florida



Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) - May 26, 2021 Lead Reviewer: Ashley Davies

# **Methodology**

This review was conducted in accordance with FDJJ-1720 (Quality Assurance Policy and Procedures), and focused on the areas of (1) Management Accountability, (2) Intervention and Case Management, and (3) Shelter Care/Health Services, which are included in the Children/Families in Need of Services (CINS/FINS) Standards (July 2020/Jan 2021).

	Persons Interviewed	
☐ Chief Executive Officer ☐ Chief Financial Officer ☐ Chief Operating Officer ☑ Executive Director ☐ Program Director ☐ Program Manager ☐ Program Coordinator ☐ Clinical Director ☐ Counselor Licensed	☐ Case Manager ☐ Counselor Non-Licensed ☐ Advocate ☐ Direct — Care Full time ☐ Direct — Part time ☐ Direct — Care On-Call ☐ Intern ☐ Volunteer ☐ Human Resources	□ Nurse – Full time □ Nurse – Part time  ②# Case Managers  ③ # Program Supervisors  ③ # Food Service Personnel  ③ # Healthcare Staff  ② # Maintenance Personnel  1 # Other (listed by title):  Administrative Assistant
	<b>Documents Reviewed</b>	
<ul> <li>□ Accreditation Reports</li> <li>☑ Affidavit of Good Moral Character</li> <li>☑ CCC Reports</li> <li>□ Logbooks</li> <li>□ Continuity of Operation Plan</li> <li>☑ Contract Monitoring Reports</li> <li>□ Contract Scope of Services</li> <li>□ Egress Plans</li> <li>□ Fire Inspection Report</li> <li>□ Exposure Control Plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☑ Table of Organization</li> <li>☐ Fire Prevention Plan</li> <li>☑ Grievance Process/Records</li> <li>☐ Key Control Log</li> <li>☐ Fire Drill Log</li> <li>☐ Medical and Mental Health Alerts</li> <li>☐ Precautionary Observation Logs</li> <li>☐ Program Schedules</li> <li>☒ List of Supplemental Contracts</li> <li>☐ Vehicle Inspection Reports</li> </ul>	□ Visitation Logs □ Youth Handbook □ # Health Records □ # MH/SA Records □ # Personnel /Volunteer Records □ # Training Records □ # Youth Records (Closed) □ # Youth Records (Open) □ # Other:
	Observations During Review	<u>N</u>
☐ Intake ☐ Program Activities ☐ Recreation ☐ Searches ☐ Security Video Tapes ☐ Social Skill Modeling by Staff ☐ Medication Administration ☐ Census Board	<ul> <li>☑ Posting of Abuse Hotline</li> <li>☐ Tool Inventory and Storage</li> <li>☐ Toxic Item Inventory and Storage</li> <li>☐ Discharge</li> <li>☐ Treatment Team Meetings</li> <li>☐ Youth Movement and Counts</li> <li>☐ Staff Interactions with Youth</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Staff Supervision of Youth</li> <li>☑ Facility and Grounds</li> <li>□ First Aid Kit(s)</li> <li>□ Group</li> <li>□ Meals</li> <li>☑ Signage that all youth welcome</li> </ul>

#### **Comments**

Due to COVID-19, this review was conducted virtually.



Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) – May 26, 2021 Lead Reviewer: Ashley Davies

#### **Monitoring Purpose:**

The purpose of this monitoring is to provide an annual quality improvement program review. This is to verify the agency adheres to all current CINS/FINS standards and contract compliance requirements for residential and non-residential services.

#### Strengths and Innovative Approaches

2020-2021 has been a challenging year for the program due to the COVID-19 pandemic. They have incorporated telehealth sessions, alternate work schedules, and additional team meetings to try to keep up with their deliverables.

The program has continued their partnership with ACE School, thereby increasing the number of potential referrals to the program.

The Case Managers have mentored and coached the youth on decision making, peer relations, drug education, educational, and vocational activities.

The Case Managers have engaged parents beyond the initial intake visit by calling them with regular updates on their child's progress with the Service Plan. This encouraged parents to become more involved with their child's success.

The program achieved 100% positive feedback on Overall Client Satisfaction on the Client Satisfaction Reports.

The program achieved 100% on the Annual Agency Report Card from the Florida Network for 2019-2020.

#### **Narrative Summary**

#### Standard 1

Nehemiah Educational & Economic Development, Inc. (N.E.E.D.) is a 501 (c)(3) organization and was incorporated in February 2000. N.E.E.D. provides an array of services to the community, including Youth Services. N.E.E.D.'s Youth Services Division provides prevention and intervention services to youth and families. N.E.E.D.'s CINS/FINS program targets children ages 6-17, which meet the following criteria for admissions: runaway, ungovernability/beyond control, truancy/school issues, and homelessness.

N.E.E.D. has collaborative partnerships with Macedonia Missionary Baptist Church of Eatonville FL, Inc., ACE School, and a well-respected psychologist. Through these collaborations they are able to offer youth and their families an array of programs and



Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) – May 26, 2021 Lead Reviewer: Ashley Davies

services such as counseling, mentoring, life skills groups, gender specific groups, opportunities to participate in annual college tours, scholarship opportunities, and opportunities for youth to participate in creative arts (drama, choir, dance, mime) at Macedonia.

N.E.E.D. is managed by an Executive Director, a Director of Youth Services, and an Administrative Assistant/Data Coordinator. At the time of the review there were no vacant positions.

#### Standard 2

N.E.E.D is contracted with the Florida Network of Youth and Families to provide non-residential CINS/FINS services for youth and their families in Orange County with main target areas of Pine Hills, West Orlando, and Eatonville. The program provides centralized screening and intake services during regular business hours. The program accepts referrals from established referral partners and local elementary, middle, and high schools. The agency also receives referrals from youth, parents/guardians, and local community-based organizations.

Services are provided by three Case Managers. The program also employs three separate Case Managers to provide Family and Youth Respite Aftercare Services (FYRAC). All Case Managers are overseen by the Director of Youth Services and hold a bachelor's degree or higher.

The agency works with a Psychologist on a contractual basis. This contracted staff member provides clinical oversight and access to a license professional to assist with any technical assistance related to mental health issues and suicide risks assessment. The Case Managers are responsible for completing assessments, developing case plans, providing case management services, and linking youth and families to community services.

The only special population served by the agency is FYRAC. The agency maintains paper files. All services are provided in the youth and family's home if possible. Case staffing's have not yet been conducted by the agency. However, the Case Staffing Committee (a statutorily mandated committee that develops a treatment plan for habitual truancy, lockout, ungovernable, and runaway youth when all other services have been exhausted or upon request from the parents/guardians) would be prepared when the need arises.

The overall findings for the QI Review for Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) are summarized as follows:

<u>Standard 1</u>: This standard has a total of seven indicators regarding management accountability. Indicator 1.06 Client Transportation was not appliable due to the program not transporting youth. The remaining six indicators were rated satisfactory. There was an exception noted in indicators 1.04 Training Requirements due to one staff completing the DOJ Civil Rights and Federal Funds training outside the required time frame.



Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) - May 26, 2021 Lead Reviewer: Ashley Davies

Standard 2: This standard has a total of ten indicators that relate to intervention and case management. Indicator 2.10 Stop Now and Plan (SNAP) was not applicable as this program does not provide SNAP services. The remaining nine indicators were rated satisfactory with no exceptions noted.



Nehemiah Educational and Economic Development (NEED) - May 26, 2021 Lead Reviewer: Ashley Davies

# **CINS/FINS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT TOOL**

Quality Improvement Indicators:	Satisfactor y (S)	Non- compliant (E)	No Eligible Items for Review (N)	No Practice (NP)	Not Applicable (N/A)	Review Based Upon  Document Source	Notes Explain any items that have any deficiencies, exceptions or are not applicable.
Standard One – Managemer							
1.01: Background Screenin volunteers	g and con	pliance	with DJJ	OIG sta	tewide pr	ocedures regarding BS of employees, contrac	tors and
Agency utilizes an employee suitability prescreening assessment with a passing rate criterion prior to an offer of hire for direct care staff working with youth or the agency has provided an	d procedure	that mee	ts the requ	irement fo	or	YES X NO (explain) There is a policy in place titled 1.01 Background Screening and Annual Affidavit of Good Moral Character that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director. There was one new staff hired since the last QI review. The agency uses the HR Avatar Pre- Employment Test and completed the screening prior to hire for that staff.	
explanation to for staff hired with a non-passing/low score.  Background screening completed prior to hire/start date or exemption obtained prior to working with youth (if rated ineligible) for new hires, volunteers/interns, and contractors  Five-year re-screening completed	X					There was one new staff hired since the last QI review. That staff received a background screening with an eligible rating prior to their start date.  There were three staff applicable for a five-year	
every 5 years from initial date of hire	Х					rescreening during the review period. All three staff received a rescreening prior to their initial date of hire.	



Annual Affidavit of Compliance with Level 2 Screening Standards (Form IG/BSU-006) is completed and sent to BSU by January 31st?	х					The agency submitted the Annual Affidavit of Compliance with Level 2 Screening via email to the Background Screening Unit on 1/29/2021.
Proof of E-Verify for all new employees obtained from the Department of Homeland Security	X					Documentation of approval of E-Verify work eligibility was provided for the one new staff hired.
1.02: Provision of an abuse free	environme	nt to ensu	re safety a	ind abuse	free enviro	onment for youth in care
Provider has a written policy and Indicator 1.02	d procedure	that meet	s the requ	irement fo	or	YES X NO (explain) There is a policy in place titled 1.02 Abuse Reporting/Abuse Free Environment and Grievances that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
Abuse Free Environment						<u> </u>
Agency has a code of conduct of policy and there is evidence that staff are aware of agency's code of conduct.	Х					All staff employed at the program have signed a code of conduct.
Child Abuse Registry telephone number is visible to youth and posted common areas of the facility	Х					The program submitted pictures of the Child Abuse Registry telephone number posted in the office.
Youth were informed of the Abuse and Contact Number (see youth survey results)	Х					All eight youth files reviewed documented the youth were informed of the abuse hotline number during orientation.
Management takes immediate action to address any incidents of threats or abuse			Х			The Director reported there have been no instances management has needed to take immediate action to address any incidents of threats or abuse.
Grievance Process						
Agency has a formal grievance process	Х					The program has a policy titled 1.02 Abuse Reporting/Abuse Free Environment that outlines the grievance procedures for the program.
Locked box accessible to only management and available to youth in a common area	Х					The program has a locked grievance box that is accessible to all youth located in the lobby area of the office.
Direct care does not handle the complaint/grievance unless assistance is asked for by the youth. Program director/supervisor			Х			The program utilizes a tracking form to capture monthly data documenting the number of grievances each month. A review of the form documented there have been no grievances since the last QI review.



will have access to and manage							
grievances unless it is towards							
themselves.							
72-hour resolution requirement by			Х			The program utilizes a tracking form to capture	
management. If this does NOT						monthly data documenting the number of grievances	
occur within the 72 hour period,						each month. A review of the form documented there	
there is sufficient documentation						have been no grievances since the last QI review.	
explaining the cause for the delay						Thave been no gnevances since the last Qi Teview.	
in resolution.							
1.03: Incident Reporting							
Provider has a written policy and	d procedure	that meet	ts the reau	irement fo	r	YES X NO (explain)	
Indicator 1.03					-	There is a policy in place titled 1.03 Incident Reporting	
maioato. Hoo						that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The	
						policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the	
						Director.	
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non-	No Eligible	No	Not	51100001	
Training Citization		compliant	Items for	Practice	Applicable		
During the past 6 months, the			Review X			A review of the Department's CCC Reports for the	
During the past 6 months, the			^				
program notified the						program and an interview with the Director revealed	
Department's CCC (Central						the program has not had any reportable incidents	
Communication Center) no later						during this review period.	
than two hours after any							
reportable incident occurred or							
within two hours of the program							
learning of the incident							
The program completes follow-up			Х			A review of the Department's CCC Reports for the	
communication tasks/special						program and an interview with the Director revealed	
instructions as required by the						the program has not had any reportable incidents	
ccc						during this review period.	
Incidents are documented in the			Х	<del> </del>		A review of the Department's CCC Reports for the	
program logs and on incident			^			program and an interview with the Director revealed	
reporting forms							
Toporting forms						the program has not had any reportable incidents	
All to at least one of the second						during this review period.	
All incident reports are reviewed	1		X			A review of the Department's CCC Reports for the	
and signed by program						program and an interview with the Director revealed	
supervisors/directors	1					the program has not had any reportable incidents	
						during this review period.	
1.04: Training Requirements (Status functions)	aff receives	training ir	the neces	ssary and e	essential	skills required to provide CINS/FINS services and perform sp	ecific job
Provider has a written policy and	d procedure	that meet	ts the reau	irement fo	r	YES X NO (explain)	
Indicator 1.04						There is a policy in place titled 1.04 Training that	
						addresses the requirements of this indicator. The	
						addresses the requirements of this indicator. The	



						policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.	
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable		
First Year Direct Care Staff							
All staff completed the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) Civil Rights & Federal Funds training within 30 days from date of hire. (Staff hired before January 1st were required to complete no later than December 31, 2020)		х				There were six staff training files reviewed. Five of the six staff completed the DOJ Civil Rights and Federal Funds training in the required time frames.	Exception: One staff completed the training late. The training was completed outside the staff's first 30 days of employment.
All staff receives all mandatory training during the first 90 days of employment from date of hire.	х					There was one staff training file available for review for first year training requirements. The staff completed all training required during the first 90 days of employment.	
All staff completes all mandatory Florida Network and SkillPro training during the first-year employment.	х					There was one staff training file available for review for first year training requirements. The staff completed all trainings required for the first year of employment and documented 86 hours of training.	
Non-licensed Mental Health Clini	ical Shelter	Staff (with	nin first yea	ar of emp	loyment)	L	
Non-licensed mental health clinical shelter staff Assessment of Suicide Risk Training					Х	The program does not employ any clinical shelter staff.	
Documentation of non-licensed mental health clinical staff person's training in Assessment of Suicide Risk form or written confirmation by a licensed mental health professional of training (includes date, signature and license number					Х	The program does not employ any clinical shelter staff.	



of the licensed mental health						
professional supervisor).						
In-Service Direct Care Staff			l			
Direct care staff completes 24 hours of mandatory refresher Florida Network, SkillPro, and jobrelated training annually (40 hours if the program has a DCF child caring license).	Х					There were four staff training files reviewed for annual training requirements. All four staff documented more than the required 24 hours of annual training with 25.5, 32, 26, and 25. All four staff completed all required trainings.
Required Training Documentation	n					
The program maintains an individual training file for each staff, which includes an annual employee training hours tracking form and related documentation, such as certificates, sign-in sheets, and agendas for each training attended.	X					In all training files, there was evidence of a spreadsheet with all trainings, date completed, and hours. Also, the training files included any related documentation including certificates, sign-in sheets, agendas, and worksheets.
Provider has a written policy and Indicator 1.05	l procedure	that mee	ts the requ	irement fo	or	YES X NO (explain) There is a policy in place titled 1.07 Analyzing and Reporting Information that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
Case record review reports demonstrate reviews are conducted quarterly, at a minimum	Х					A review of eight youth files confirmed the Director reviews each case on a monthly basis, which is documented in the case notes and on the Youth Contact/Progress Report. In addition to case reviews, the Director utilizes an internal weekly spreadsheet to document the status and due dates of each youth. The monthly case review reports are then reviewed with each staff and at staff meetings.
The program conducts reviews of incidents, accidents, and grievances quarterly, at a minimum			Х			Through an interview with the Director, it was validated a monthly review of any incidents, accidents, and grievances would be reviewed if any occurred. The program maintains a monthly form to



		document any such incidents, and none have been documented during this review period.
The program conducts an annual review of customer satisfaction data	х	The program reviews the customer satisfaction data on a quarterly basis. This review is documented in meeting minutes.
The program conducts an annual review of outcome data and (if applicable) there is evidence of annual reconciliation that occurs through communication from the Florida Network via email or phone call when corrections are needed and the information is corrected and submitted within the requested timeframes.	Х	The Director reviews all annual outcome data and presents this data to the President of the company on an annual basis. In addition, the Director provides the President with highlights for the fiscal year. The program reported an annual reconciliation was just completed with the Florida Network in January 2021.
The program conducts a monthly review of NetMIS data reports.	Х	The Director receives monthly data generated from NetMIS and reviews this data on a monthly basis.
The Florida Network conducts monthly reconciliation by comparing NetMIS data to JJIS data. Agency has evidence that they have reconciled any differences noted.	Х	The Director receives monthly NetMIS and JJIS data. Any differences noted are reconciled immediately by the Administrative Assistant.
The program has a process in place to review and improve accuracy of data entry & collection	X	The Administrative Assistant is the only person to input data into NetMIS and JJIS to help improve accuracy of data entry. Data entry and collection is also reviewed for accuracy after each youth intake.
There is documentation that findings are regularly reviewed by management and communicated to staff and stakeholders.	Х	A review of monthly meeting minutes documented that findings are communicated to staff.
There is evidence that strengths and weaknesses are identified, improvements are implemented or modified, and staff are informed and involved throughout the process.	Х	Monthly meeting minutes show evidence of strengths and weaknesses identified, and improvements implemented. Staff are informed and involved in the process.



Provider has a written policy and Indicator 1.06	d procedure that mee	ts the requirement f		YES NO (explain) This program does not transport youth.	<b>K</b>	
Approved agency drivers are agency staff approved by administrative personnel to drive client(s) in agency or approved private vehicle			X			
Approved agency drivers are documented as having a valid Florida driver's license and are covered under company insurance policy			X			
Agency's Transportation policy prohibit transporting a client without maintaining at least one other passenger in the vehicle during the trip and include exceptions in the event that a 3 <sup>rd</sup> party is NOT present in the vehicle while transporting			х			
In the event that a 3rd party cannot be obtained for transport, the agency's supervisor or managerial personnel consider the clients' history, evaluation, and recent behavior			х			
The 3 <sup>rd</sup> party an approved volunteer, intern, agency staff, or other youth			X			
There is documentation of use of vehicle that notes name or initials of driver, date and time, mileage, number of passengers, purpose of travel and location.			х			



Provider has a written policy and Indicator 1.07	d procedure	that meet	ts the requ	YES X NO (explain) There is a policy in place titled 1.05 Outreach Services that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.		
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
The program has a lead staff member designated to participate in local DJJ board and council meetings with evidence that includes minutes of the event or other verification of staff participation	Х					The program provided documentation, in the form of meeting agendas and minutes, that a staff member participated in the last six bi-monthly Circuit 9 Community Advisory Board meetings. Due to Covid-19 the meetings have been held virtually via Zoom.
Outreach and prevention services are provided by designated staff and include increasing community awareness, offering informational and educational CINS/FINS services to youth and families.	Х					The program had conducted one outreach activity during this review period. The Director reported due to Covid-19 staff have not been able to conduct outreach activities. The program has continued their partnership with ACE School which has helped increase the number of referrals to the program.
The program maintains written agreements with other community partners which include services provided and a comprehensive referral process.	Х					The program has established written interagency agreements with The Mustard Seed of Central Florida, Boys and Girls Clubs of Central Florida, New Covenant Perfecting Ministries, Inc. and Robertson and Associates Psychological Services, LLC.
Provider has a written policy and Indicator 2.01	l procedure	that meet	s the requ	irement fo	or	YES X NO (explain)  There is a policy in place titled 2.01 Screening, Intake, and Admission that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
Shelter youth: Eligibility screening is completed immediately for all shelter placement inquiries. If staff on duty cannot complete the screening, an on-call supervisor is contacted and eligibility is determined within 30 minutes from initial inquiry.					х	This is a community counseling program and does not provide shelter services.



	-						
Community counseling: Eligibility	X					There were eight files reviewed, three open and five	
screening is completed within 3						closed. All eight had an eligibility screening completed	
business days of referral by a						within three calendar days of the referral.	
trained staff using the NetMIS form							
Youth and parents/guardians	Х					All eight files reviewed documented the youth and	
receive the following in writing:						parents received all required information at intake.	
a. Available service options							
b. Rights and responsibilities of							
youth and parents/guardians							
The following is also available to	Х					All eight files reviewed documented the youth and	
the youth and parents/guardians:	_ ^					parents received all required information at intake.	
the youth and parents/guardians.						parents received all required information at intake.	
a. Possible actions occurring							
through involvement with							
CINS/FINS services (case staffing							
committee, CINS petition, CINS							
adjudication)							
b. Grievance procedures							
Provider has a written policy and	d procedure	that meet	ts the requ	irement fo	or	YES X NO (explain)	
Indicator 2.02	•		-			There is a policy in place titled 2.02 Needs	
						Assessment that addresses the requirements of this	
						indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29,	
						2021 by the Director.	
Datin - Oritaria	Satisfactory	Non-	No Eligible	No	Not	2021 by the birector.	
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	compliant	Items for	Practice	Applicable		
			Review				
Completion of Needs Assessme	ent						
Shelter Youth: Needs Assessment					Х	This is a community counseling program and does not	
initiated within 72 hours of						provide shelter services.	
admission							
Non-Residential youth: Needs	Х					There were eight files reviewed, three open and five	
Assessment is done within 2 to 3	1					closed. The Needs Assessment was completed within	
face-to-face contacts after the						2 to 3 face-to-face contacts in all eight files.	
initial intake <b>OR</b> updated, if most						2 to 5 tage to tage contagts in all eight files.	
recent assessment is over 6			I .	l	1		
recent assessment is over 6							J
months old	X					All eight Needs Assessments were conducted by a	
months old  Needs Assessment is conducted	Х					All eight Needs Assessments were conducted by a	
months old	X					All eight Needs Assessments were conducted by a bachelor's or master's level staff member.	



Needs Assessment includes a supervisor's review signature upon completion  Suicide Risk as a Result of the N	X	cment				A supervisor's signature was present on all eight Needs Assessments reviewed.
Youth was identified with an elevated risk of suicide as a result of the Needs Assessment	leeds Asses	sment	Х			None of the files reviewed documented the youth had an elevated risk of suicide as a result of the Needs Assessment.
If yes, the youth was referred for an Assessment of Suicide Risk conducted by or under the direct supervision of a licensed mental health professional			Х			None of the files reviewed documented the youth had an elevated risk of suicide as a result of the Needs Assessment.
Provider has a written policy and Indicator 2.03	d procedure	that mee	ts the requ	irement fo	or	YES X NO (explain)  There is a policy in place titled 2.03 Service Plan that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
Case/Service plan is developed within 7 working days of Needs Assessment	Х					There were eight files reviewed, three open and five closed. In all eight files the Service Plans were developed within seven working days of the Needs Assessment.
Case plan service Plan includes:  1. Individualized and prioritized need(s) and goal(s) identified by the Needs Assessment  2. Service type, frequency, location  3. Person(s) responsible  4. Target date(s) for completion and Actual completion date(s)  5. Signature of youth, parent/ guardian, counselor, and supervisor  6. Date the plan was initiated	х					All eight Service Plans reviewed included individualized and prioritized needs and goals identified by the Needs Assessment, service type, frequency and location, persons responsible, and target dates for completion. Of the eight files reviewed, five files include actual goal completion dates while three files were still open. All eight Service Plans had the signature of the counselor, supervisor, youth, and parent.
Case/service plans are reviewed for progress/revised by counselor and parent (if available) every 30 days for the first three months and every 6 months after	Х					All eight files documented Service Plan reviews every 30 days for the first three months. None of the files were applicable for reviews beyond the first three months.



Provider has a written policy and Indicator 2.04	d procedure	that mee	ts the requ	YES X NO (explain) There is a policy in place titled 2.04 Case Management and Service Delivery that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.		
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
Counselor/Case Manager is assigned	Х					Each of the eight files reviewed showed a Case Manager was assigned to the youth.
The Counselor/Case Manager completes the following as applicable:  1. Establishes referral needs and coordinates referrals to services based upon the on-going assessment of the youth's/family's problems and needs  2. Coordinates service plan implementation  3. Monitors youth's/family's progress in services  4. Provides support for families  5. Monitors out-of-home placement (if necessary)  6. Makes referrals to the case staffing to address problems and needs of the youth/family  7. Accompanies youth and parent/guardian to court hearings and related appointments  8. Refers the youth/family for additional services when appropriate  9. Provides case monitoring and reviews court orders  10. Provides case termination notes  11. Provides follow-up after 30 days of exit  12. Provides follow-up after 60 days of exit	X					All eight files establish referral needs and coordinated referrals to services based upon the ongoing assessment of the youth's/family's problems and needs, coordinated service plan implementation, monitored youth's/family's progress in service, and provided support to families. None of the files were applicable for monitoring out-of-home placement, referring the youth and family to the case staffing committee, accompanying the youth/guardian to court hearings and related appointments, referring the youth/family for additional services, or reviewing court orders. All five applicable files provided case termination documentation. Two files were applicable for providing follow-up after 30 days of exit and one file after 60 days. All follow-ups were completed.
The program maintains written agreements with other community	Х					The program has established written interagency agreements with The Mustard Seed of Central Florida,



	l		1	1		
partners that include services						Boys and Girls Clubs of Central Florida, New
provided and a comprehensive						Covenant Perfecting Ministries, Inc. and Robertson
referral process						and Associates Psychological Services, LLC. The
						agreements provide a comprehensive referral process.
Provider has a written policy and	procedure	that mee	ts the reau	irement f	or	YES X NO (explain)
Indicator 2.05						There is a policy in place titled 2.05 Counseling
						Services that addresses the requirements of this
						indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29,
D :: 0 :: :	Cattleford and		No Elizable		Nac	2021 by the Director.
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for	No Practice	Not Applicable	
			Review			
Youth and families receive	Х					There were eight files reviewed, three open and five
counseling services, in accordance						closed. Service Plans and case notes maintained
with the youth's case/service plan,						demonstrated all eight youth received counseling
to address needs identified during						services to address needs identified during the
the assessment process						assessment process.
Shelter Program			1			assessment process.
Shelter programs provides			1		Х	This is community counseling program and does not
individual and family counseling					^	provide shelter services.
individual and family counseling						provide stieller services.
Group counseling sessions held a					Х	This is community counseling program and does not
minimum of five days per week						provide shelter services.
Group counseling sessions consist					Х	This is community counseling program and does not
of:						provide shelter services.
a. Length of at least 30 minutes						
b. Opportunity for youth						
engagement						
c. Clear and relevant topic						
(informational/developmental/						
educational)						
d. Clear leader or facilitator						
Community Counseling						
Community counseling programs	Х					All eight files documented therapeutic services were
provide therapeutic community-						provided by program staff and this was documented in
based services designed to			1			the case notes. Services were provided both virtually,
provide the intervention necessary						due to COVID-19, and in the youth's home.
to stabilize the family. Services are			1			due to COVID-19, and in the youth's nome.
provided in the youth's home, a						
community location, or the local						
provider's counseling office.			1			
			1			
Counseling Services						





	<u> </u>		T		
b. Notification to committee no less					
than 5 working days prior to					
staffing					
Case Staffing Committee					
Must include:		X		The Program Manager reported there have been no	
a. DJJ rep. or CINS/FINS provider				case staffing's during this review period.	
<ul> <li>b. Local school district</li> </ul>					
representative					
Other members may include:		Х		The Program Manager reported there have been no	
a. State Attorney's Office				case staffing's during this review period.	
b. Others requested by youth/					
family					
c. Substance abuse representative					
d. Law enforcement representative					
e. DCF representative					
f. Mental health representative					
The program has an established	X			The program has an established committee that meets	
case staffing committee, and has				when needed.	
regular communication with					
committee members					
The program has an internal	Х			The program has policy 2.06 Adjudication and Petition	
procedure for the case staffing				Process that outlines procedures for the case staffing	
process, including a schedule for				process.	
committee meetings					
As a result of the Case Staffing					
The youth and family are provided		Х		The Program Manager reported there have been no	
a new or revised plan for services				case staffing's during this review period.	
		.,		Ti D	
Written report is provided to the		X		The Program Manager reported there have been no	
parent/guardian within 7 days of				case staffing's during this review period.	
the case staffing meeting, outlining recommendations and reasons					
behind the recommendations		V		The Dreamer Manager was attend there have being to a six	
If applicable, the program works		Х		The Program Manager reported there have been no	
with the circuit court for judicial				case staffing's during this review period.	
intervention for the youth/family				The December Management 1.11	
Case Manager/Counselor		Х		The Program Manager reported there have been no	
completes a review summary prior				case staffing's during this review period.	
to the court hearing	l muna a clicara d'	-4 magata (b ma		VEC V NO (I-I-I-)	
Provider has a written policy and	procedure that	at meets the requ	irement for	YES X NO (explain)	
Indicator 2.07				There is a policy in place titled 2.07 Youth Records	
				that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The	
				policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the	
				Director.	



Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
All records are clearly marked 'confidential'.	Х					There were eight youth files reviewed. All files were marked "confidential".
All records are kept in a secure room or locked in a file cabinet that is marked "confidential"	х					All files were kept in locked file cabinets in the Administrative Assistants office. The file cabinets were marked "confidential". Pictures were provided of the file cabinets.
When in transport, all records are locked in an opaque container marked "confidential"	Х					The program provided a picture of a locked, opaque container marked "confidential" that is used to transport files.
All records are maintained in a neat and orderly manner so that staff can quickly and easily access information	Х					All files were neat and orderly, and documents were maintained in a consistent manner.
Provider has a written policy and Indicator 2.08	d procedure	that mee	ts the requ	irement fo	or	YES X NO (explain) There is a policy in place titled 2.08 Sexual Orientation, Gender Identity, Gender Expression that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
Use of youth's preferred name/pronoun:  a. Youth are addressed according to their preferred name and gender pronouns  b. Youth's preferred name and gender pronouns are used in logbook and on all outward-facing documents and census boards			х			A review of the Florida Network's SOGIE Report as of March 8, 2021 and an interview with the Director confirmed there have been no youth who have fallen under the requirements of this indicator since the last Quality Improvement review.
Youth in need of specialized support is referred to qualified resources (as applicable)			Х			A review of the Florida Network's SOGIE Report as of March 8, 2021 and an interview with the Director confirmed there have been no youth who have fallen under the requirements of this indicator since the last Quality Improvement review.
Youth preference is considered and documented for room assignment and youth is not roomed in isolation due to sexual					Х	This program only serves community counseling youth.



	1						
orientation, gender identity, or gender expression							
Youth is provided hygiene products, undergarments and clothing that affirms their gender identity or gender expression					Х	This program only serves community counseling youth.	
The agency has signage and brochures/publication placed in common areas indicating that all youth are welcome regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, and gender expression	X					Pictures were provided of postings around the office indicating all youth are welcome regardless of sexual orientation, gender identity, and gender expression.	
Provider has a written policy and Indicator 2.09	d procedure	YES X NO (explain) There is a policy in place titled 2.09 Specialized Additional Program Services Special Populations that addresses the requirements of this indicator. The policy was last reviewed on April 29, 2021 by the Director.					
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable		
Staff Secure							
Does the agency have any cases in the last 6 months or since the	YES	NO		N/A X		This program does not provide Staff Secure services.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")							
Staff Secure policy and procedure outlines the following:							
a. In-depth orientation on admission     b. Assessment and service							
planning c. Enhanced supervision and							
security with emphasis on control and appropriate level of physical intervention							
d. Parental involvement e. Collaborative aftercare							
Program only accept youth that meet legal requirements of F.S. 984 for being formally court							



ordered in to Staff Secure							
Services							
Staff Assigned:							
a. One staff secure bed and							
assigned staff supervision to one							
staff secure youth at any given							
time							
b. Program assign specific staff							
during each shift to monitor							
location/ movement of staff							
secure youth							
c. Agency clearly documents the							
specific staff person assigned to							
the staff secure youth in the							
logbook or any other means on							
each shift							
Agency provides a written report							
for any court proceedings regarding the youth's progress							
Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking	(DMST)						
	. ,		ı				
Does the agency have any cases	YES	NO		N/A		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the	YES	NO		N/A X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was	YES	NO				This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating	YES	NO				This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")			No Eligible	X	Not	This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating	YES	Non-compliant	No Eligible Items for Review		Not Applicable	This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements  Services provided to these youth		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements  Services provided to these youth specifically designated services		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements  Services provided to these youth specifically designated services designed to serve DMST youth		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements  Services provided to these youth specifically designated services designed to serve DMST youth Did the placement of DMST		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements  Services provided to these youth specifically designated services designed to serve DMST youth Did the placement of DMST youth require additional		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements  Services provided to these youth specifically designated services designed to serve DMST youth Did the placement of DMST youth require additional supervision for the safety of the		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	
in the last 6 months or since the last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  Agency has evidence that the FNYFS was contacted for approval prior to admission for all Domestic Minor Sex Trafficking (DMST) placements  Services provided to these youth specifically designated services designed to serve DMST youth Did the placement of DMST youth require additional		Non-	Items for	X		This program does not provide DMST services.	



appropriate level of supervision and safety measures?							
Length of Stay:							
a. Youth in program do not have							
length of stay in DMST							
placement that exceeds seven							
(7) days							
b. Agency has approval for stays							
and support beyond seven (7)							
days for DMST placements that are obtained on a case-by-case							
basis? (If applicable.)							
Agency has evidence that staff							
assigned to DMST youth under							
this provision are to enhance the							
regular services available							
through direct engagement in							
positive activities designed to							
encourage the youth to remain in							
shelter							
All other services provided to							
DMST youth are consistent with							
all other general CINS/FINS							
program requirements							
Domestic Violence							
Does the agency have any cases	YES	NO		N/A		This program does not provide Domestic Violence	
in the last 6 months or since the				X		services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was							
conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")							
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non-	No Eligible	No	Not		
Rating Officia		compliant	Items for Review	Practice	Applicable		
Youth admitted to DV Respite							
placement have a pending DV							
charge and have evidence of							
being screened by							
JAC/Detention, but do not meet							
criteria for secure detention							
Data entry into NetMIS and JJIS							
within (3) business days of intake							
and discharge					J		<u> </u>



		1	1				
Youth in program do not have							
length of stay in DV Respite							
placement that exceeds 21 days.							
If more than 21 days,							
documentation exists in youth file							
of transition to CINS/FINS or							
Probation Respite placement, if							
applicable.							
Case plan in file reflects goals							
focusing aggression							
management, family coping							
skills, or other intervention							
design to reduce reoccurrence of							
violence in the home							
All other services provided to							
Domestic Violence Respite youth							
are consistent with all other							
general CINS/FINS program							
requirements		<u> </u>					
Probation Respite	VEO	NO	ı	NI/A		This are made as not associate Book ation Book its	
Does the agency have any cases	YES	NO		N/A		This program does not provide Probation Respite	
in the last 6 months or since the							
				X		services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was				^		Services. See FTRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating				^		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")	Onthological	Mari	No Ellerinia		No.	Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for	No Practice	Not Applicable	Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria	Satisfactory			No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FTRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status  Data entry into NetMIS and JJIS	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status  Data entry into NetMIS and JJIS within (3) business days of intake and discharge	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FYRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status  Data entry into NetMIS and JJIS within (3) business days of intake and discharge  Length of stay is no more than	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FTRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status  Data entry into NetMIS and JJIS within (3) business days of intake and discharge  Length of stay is no more than fourteen (14) to thirty (30) days?	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FTRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status  Data entry into NetMIS and JJIS within (3) business days of intake and discharge  Length of stay is no more than fourteen (14) to thirty (30) days? (Placement beyond thirty (30)	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FTRAC below.	
last onsite QI review was conducted? (If no, select rating "No eligible items for review")  Rating Criteria  All probation respite referrals are submitted to the Florida Network.  Probation Respite Referral come from DJJ Probation and are all youth referred on probation regardless of adjudication status  Data entry into NetMIS and JJIS within (3) business days of intake and discharge  Length of stay is no more than fourteen (14) to thirty (30) days?	Satisfactory		Items for	No		Services. See FTRAC below.	



	1		ı	1	1						
All case management and											
counseling needs have been											
considered and addressed											
All other services provided to											
Probation Respite youth are											
consistent with all other general											
CINS/FINS program											
requirements											
Intensive Case Management (ICI	Intensive Case Management (ICM)										
Does the agency have any cases	YES	NO		N/A		This program does not provide ICM services.					
in the last 6 months or since the				X							
last onsite QI review was											
conducted? (If no, select rating											
"No eligible items for review")											
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non-	No Eligible Items for	No Practice	Not						
		compliant	Review	Practice	Applicable						
Youth receiving services was											
court ordered or referred by case											
staffing committee											
Services for youth and family											
include:											
a. Four (4) direct contacts per											
month											
b. Four (4) collateral contacts per											
month											
Assessments include:											
a. A Child Behavior Checklist											
(CBCL) is completed within 14											
days of intake and at discharge											
(if applicable)											
b. An approved self-report											
assessment that was completed											
at intake											
c. An approved self-report											
assessment that was completed											
every 90 days following intake											
and at discharge (if applicable)											
Case plan demonstrates a											
strength-based, trauma-informed											
focus											
10003	l		l	l	1						



Agency has evidence that ICMS has a strength-based perspective in one or more of the following areas; engaging the family, advocating on their behalf, initiating change agent activities, helping to access supports in the community, teaching problem							
solving skills, modeling productive behaviors, and/or successful completion of youth and family developmental							
milestones Family and Youth Respite Afterc	ara Sarvica	· /EVDAC	\_ Non-roc	idential O	nlv.		
Does the agency have any cases	YES	NO	<u>)– NOII-163</u>	N/A	rilly		
in the last 6 months or since the	X	110		IN/A			
last onsite QI review was							
conducted? (If no, select rating							
"No eligible items for review")							
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable		
Youth is referred by DJJ for a	Х					There were three FYAC files reviewed, two open and	
domestic violence arrest on a						one closed. All three youth were referred by DJJ.	
household member, and/or the						one closed. All three youth were referred by DJJ.	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless						one closed. All three youth were referred by DJJ.	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk						one closed. All three youth were referred by DJJ.	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating	<b>V</b>						
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all	х					All three files documented approval from the Florida	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have	X						
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all	х					All three files documented approval from the Florida	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the	X					All three files documented approval from the Florida	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:  a. Face-to-face gathering of						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan developed during the initial session that was signed by	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:  a. Face-to-face gathering of family history and demographic						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan developed during the initial session that was signed by the parent and youth. All three files also documented	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:  a. Face-to-face gathering of family history and demographic information						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan developed during the initial session that was signed by	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:  a. Face-to-face gathering of family history and demographic information  b. Includes development of the						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan developed during the initial session that was signed by the parent and youth. All three files also documented	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:  a. Face-to-face gathering of family history and demographic information  b. Includes development of the service plan and is documented						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan developed during the initial session that was signed by the parent and youth. All three files also documented	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:  a. Face-to-face gathering of family history and demographic information  b. Includes development of the service plan and is documented through signature of the youth						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan developed during the initial session that was signed by the parent and youth. All three files also documented	
household member, and/or the youth is on probation regardless of adjudication status and at risk of violating  Agency has evidence that all FYRAC referrals have documented approval from the Florida Network office  Intake and initial assessment sessions meets the following criteria:  a. Face-to-face gathering of family history and demographic information  b. Includes development of the service plan and is documented						All three files documented approval from the Florida Network.  In all three files the intake was face-to-face and included a gathering of family history and demographic information. All three files also had a Service Plan developed during the initial session that was signed by the parent and youth. All three files also documented	



Life Management Sessions	Х					In all three files the individual sessions with the youth	
meets the following criteria:						and family identified strengths and needs of the family	
a. Sessions are face-to-face,						and helped to improve family functioning. All sessions	
sixty (60) minutes in length and						documented were at least 60 minutes in length.	
focus on strengthening the family						documented were at react to minutes in rengin.	
unit							
b. Individual Sessions are with							
the youth and family and focus to							
engage, identify strengths and							
needs of each member that help							
to improve family functioning							
Group Sessions:			Х			The Director reported due to COVID-19 group	
a. Focus on the same issues as						sessions have not been provided.	
individual/family sessions with						Coolerie Have Het Been provided.	
the overall goal of strengthening							
relationships and prevention							
domestic violence							
b. Shall be no more than eight							
(8) youth at one (1) time and							
shall be for a minimum of sixty							
(60) minutes per session							
Youth and family participate in	Х					The one applicable closed file documented the youth	
services for thirteen (13)						and family participated in 90 consecutive days of	
sessions or ninety (90)						services.	
consecutive days of services, or						551115551	
there is evidence in the youth's							
file that an extension is granted							
by DJJ circuit Probation staff	IAD)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		
2.10: STOP NOW AND PLAN (SN	-						
Provider has a written policy and	d procedure	that mee	ts the requ	irement f	or	YES NO (explain) X	
Indicator 2.10						This program does not provide SNAP services.	
5.4.5.	Catiofastam	Non	No Elimible	Me	Not		
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for	No Practice	Not Applicable		
			Review				



SNAP Clinical Groups						
Youth are screened to determine eligibility of services					Х	
Needs assessment is completed at initial intake, or within two face-to-face sessions					Х	
SNAP Assessments a. Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL) is completed by the caregiver (pre & post) b. Teacher Report Form (TRF) completed by the teacher (pre & post) c. Tool for Parenting Self Efficacy (TOPSE) completed by the caregiver (pre & post) d. Prevention Assessment Tool					X	
(PAT) (pre & post)						
SNAP discharge report summary					Х	
SNAP Boys/SNAP Girls <b>Parent</b> Group Evaluation Form					Х	
SNAP Boys/SNAP Girls <b>Child</b> Group Evaluation Form					Х	
SNAP in Schools		L	l			
Rating Criteria	Satisfactory	Non- compliant	No Eligible Items for Review	No Practice	Not Applicable	
Weekly attendance sheet with youth names and/or identifying number completed with signatures of teacher and facilitator(s) (For a total of 13 attendance sheets)					х	
"Class Goal" sheet					Х	



Measure of Classroom Environment (MoCE) (Pre and Post) is used to identify baseline and treatment outcomes of reported classroom dynamics.			Х	
Pre and Post Evaluations			Х	
One SNAP® Fidelity Adherence Checklist completed per classroom in a 13 – week SNAP in Schools group and uploaded to Dropbox			Х	